# Transportation Asset Management Plan:

Ready or Not, Here Comes The New Paradigm

or
The Long Slow Road to Change

"...a comprehensive and structured approach to the long-term management of assets as tools for the efficient and effective delivery of community benefits."

Strategy for Improving Asset Management Practice, AUSTROADS

1997

"...a methodology needed by those who are responsible for efficiently allocation generally insufficient funds amongst valid and competing needs."

The American Public Works Association Asset Management Task Force, 1998

"Asset Management...goes beyond the traditional management practice of examining singular systems within the road networks, i.e., pavements, bridges, etc., and looks at the universal system of a network of roads and all of its components to allow comprehensive management of limited resources. Through proper asset management, governments can improve program and infrastructure quality, increase information accessibility and use, enhance and sharpen decision-making, make more effective investments and decrease overall costs, including the social and economic impacts of road crashes."

Organization for European Cooperation and Development Working

Group, Asset Management Systems, Project Description, 1999

# Asset Management in US

- Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA) 1991
  - National Highway System (NHS)
- Transportation Equity Act for the 21st\_Century (TEA-21) 1998
  - FHWA Office of Asset Management
- Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient
   Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users
   (SAFETEA-LU) in 2005
- Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21) in 2012

Asset management is a strategic and systematic process of operating, maintaining, and improving physical assets, with a focus on engineering and economic analysis based upon quality information, to identify a structured sequence of maintenance, preservation, repair, rehabilitation, and replacement actions that will achieve and sustain a desired state of good repair over the lifecycle of the assets at minimum practicable cost.(23 U.S.C. 101(a)(2), MAP-21 § 1103)

# Why Asset Management Now

- Transportation Agencies have Outstanding
   Historical Record of Effective Asset Management
- But...
- 1. Changes in Transportation Environment
  - High User Demand
  - Budgets Stretched by Requirements
  - Declines in Staff Resources
  - Mature Assets w/ Ongoing Deterioration

# Why Asset Management Now

- Transportation Agencies have Outstanding
   Historical Record of Effective Asset Management
- But...
- 2. Changes in Public Expectations
  - Investment of Public Tax Dollars
  - More Communication Opportunities
  - Public Holds Agencies Accountable
  - Requires Explicit & Clearly Defined Goals

# Why Asset Management Now

- Transportation Agencies have Outstanding Historical Record of Effective Asset Management
- But...
- 3. Extraordinary Advance in Technology
  - More Sophisticated Analytical Tools
  - Technology to Support Comprehensive, Fully Integrated Systems
  - Ability to Perform What-If Analysis
    - Impact of Various Budget Levels on System
       Condition & Performance

Cornerstone of MAP-21's highway program transformation is the transition to a performance and outcome-based program

MAP-21 National Performance Goals for NHS:

- Infrastructure Condition
  - Maintain the highway infrastructure asset system in a state of good repair
- Safety
  - Achieve a significant reduction in traffic fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads
- Congestion Reduction
  - Achieve a significant reduction in congestion
- System Reliability
  - Improve the efficiency of the surface transportation system

MAP-21 National Performance Goals for NHS:

- Freight Movement and Economic Vitality
  - Improve the national freight network
  - Strengthen the ability of rural communities to access national and international trade markets
  - Support regional economic development
- Environmental Sustainability
  - Enhance the performance of the transportation system while protecting and enhancing the natural environment

MAP-21 National Performance Goals for NHS:

- Reduced Project Delivery Delays
  - Reduce project costs
  - Promote jobs and the economy
  - Expedite the movement of people and goods by accelerating project completion by:
    - Eliminating delays in the project development and delivery process
    - Improving agencies' work practices
    - Reducing regulatory burdens

MAP-21 Requires each State DOT to Develop
A Risk-Based Transportation Asset Management
Plan (TAMP) for the National Highway System

TAMP – "An essential management tool that brings together all related business processes and stakeholders, internal and external, to achieve a common understanding and commitment to improve performance."

#### TAMP Requirements:

- Management Systems for NHS Pavements & Bridges
- Asset Management Objectives & Measures
- Performance Gap Identification
- Life Cycle Cost
- Risk Management Analysis
- A Financial Plan
- Investment Strategies

The TAMP needs to achieve the following objectives:

- Identify policies and procedures to allocate funds to preserve and maintain the existing highway system
- Determine how existing plans (e.g., the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program, Statewide Transportation Plan, Strategic Plan, etc.) tie together in terms of a comprehensive, coordinated asset management process

The TAMP needs to achieve the following objectives:

- Develop and document a TAMP governance process
  - Define the individuals responsible for management of the TAMP
  - How it will be used throughout the Agency
  - How it relates to other Agency documents
  - When will the TAMP be updated
  - How the Agency will periodically assess its asset management program

The TAMP needs to achieve the following objectives:

- Develop a plan to implement the TAMP
- Continue enhancements after the initial TAMP is complete
  - What additional assets classes to consider adding
- Meet the requirements of MAP-21
  - Rule Making delays

# Implementation Guidelines

"A Strategic Framework to Support the Implementation of Transportation Asset Management in State Transportation Agencies"

Developed by the Transportation Asset

Management Expert Task Group (TAM ETG)

# Implementation Challenges

- Institutional & Organizational Factors
- Data Collection & Measurement
- Data Integration & Decision Making
- Availability of Tools & Analytical Capabilities
- Need for Training & Technology Transfer for Workforce Development

Table 2. Challenges to AM development and implementation (from NCHRP Synthesis 439).

Challenges	Responses*	Percent
Lack of resources (e.g., funding, equipment)	35	81 %
Lack of staff	29	67 %
Resistance to change	26	60 %
Inter-departmental interactions	25	58 %
Higher and other priorities	22	51 %
Lack of expertise and training	22	51 %
Staff commitment	18	42 %
Executive commitment	14	33 %
Staff turnover	11	26 %
Availability of adequate tools in the marketplace	9	21 %
Outside pressure to have a subjective approach	8	19 %
Lack of guidance and support	2	5 %

<sup>\*43</sup> agencies responded, but multiple answers were allowed.

#### Gaps (Issues)

Using the results of the synthesis as a base, the TAM ETG identified a variety of factors that limit the ability of state highway agencies to fully utilize asset management. These gaps, which are listed below, range from the availability and capacity of agency employees to the availability of the processes and tools to support an asset management analysis.

- Agency resources: The availability of agency resources to support asset management
  activities is limited and many agencies are not filling vacancies that are created.
- Agency awareness, knowledge, and understanding: Asset management represents a
  new way of doing business, which requires new skills and changes to the types of
  individuals being hired in transportation agencies.
- Public understanding: The common approaches to communicate funding needs have not
  addressed funding needs in transportation. Additionally, the long-term consequences of
  deferring asset preservation activities are either not understood or are being ignored.
- Stewardship: More elected officials and agency executives need to be made aware of their role as stewards of transportation assets. As a result, they do not place a priority on preserving the value of these assets over time.
- Organizational culture: Since these agencies were created, state and federal
  transportation agencies have primarily focused on expanding and rehabilitating the
  highway system. Today's economic climate demands that transportation agencies change
  the organizational culture to support the new way of doing business. These changes
  involve transitioning from a culture focused on system expansion to system preservation
  and placing more of an emphasis on system optimization over project optimization.
- Leadership support and commitment: Asset management demands the support and
  commitment of agency leadership in setting policies and investment priorities. Executive
  support is also instrumental in facilitating the business process and other organizational
  changes needed to build an organizational culture that supports performance-based decisions.

- Funding structure: Historically, transportation funding has been allocated into a number
  of different formula programs, each of which has their own requirements for using funds.
  MAP-21 places more of an emphasis on managing to performance outcomes that are
  documented in the TAMP. MAP-21 also consolidates the funding for some programs,
  but the remaining programs can influence the amount of flexibility that state highway
  agencies have in making asset management investments. Changes in existing federal and
  state policies may also be needed to support investments that optimize system
  performance rather than focus on optimizing project performance.
- Data and Systems: In the past, agency personnel collected the data they needed to make
  investment decisions independent of other uses for the data. Efforts to improve agency
  efficiency are forcing transportation agencies to coordinate data collection efforts and to
  strive for consistent data standards so data can be integrated on an agency-wide basis.
  Advances in technology have significantly improved data coordination and integration
  efforts. However, some of the existing technology is under-utilized. In other instances,
  analysis tools have not yet been developed to provide some of the capabilities desired in
  using and analyzing available data.
- Risk Management: MAP-21 requires the consideration of risk in developing an asset management plan. Although most transportation agencies have accounted for risks on individual projects, the systematic consideration of agency and program risks is a new concept that is not well understood. Among agencies that have considered risk, there is no consistent approach being used. Therefore, guidance is needed on how to better incorporate and use risk in making investment decisions.
- Long-Term Financial Planning: Internationally, transportation agencies have developed
  metrics leading to sustainable transportation systems through a focus on long-term
  financial plans that balance the trade-offs between anticipated revenue and the funding
  needed to achieve performance targets. These concepts are not widely understood or
  utilized within the United States.

Table 3. Contributing factors influencing each gap area.

Gaps	Organizational Capacity	External Stakeholder Influence	Availability of Processes and Tools	Financial Management
Resources: Agency Resources	Staff shortages exist due to retirements and decisions not to fill vacancies The organizational structure does not easily accommodate cross-cutting activities Agency knowledge is retiring faster than it is built	There is external pressure to reduce the size of government agencies	Resources are not always available to maintain data and systems over time     The benefits associated with improved data cannot easily be documented and conveyed to decision makers	<ul> <li>Work activities are contracted out due to staff shortages</li> </ul>
Resources:  Agency Awareness, Knowledge, and Understanding	Asset management is not part of a traditional engineering curriculum     DOTs have not typically hired business majors     Existing workloads limit the time available to acquire new skills	Reasons for using asset management are not well known or understood beyond what is legislated	Staff are not always aware of available tools and products     Staff do not have the knowledge or experience to know what questions to ask or to evaluate the suitability of available tools and products	Fiscal constraints are forcing agencies to be more efficient with available resources
Resources: Public Understanding	Benefits to improved asset management are primarily subjective rather than objective     Agencies rely on traditional methods of conveying needs, which have not been effective at driving change	The benefits of asset management are not well understood outside of the transportation community Consequences of deferring preservation activities are not well known Traditional measures of performance have not motivated decision makers in the past	It is difficult to quantify the benefits associated with improved decisions or better data	Long-term consequences of limited investments in transportation are not well understood or are not convincing
Leadership: Stewardship	Methods of conveying investment needs that lead to fiscally sustainable programs are not well understood     There is little guidance available to help agencies strengthen their roles as system stewards	Elected and appointed officials have not embraced their role as stewards of the transportation system     MAP-21 requirements will lead to improved transparency and accountability	<ul> <li>Mandates for compliance with standards such as safety and handicap access are often based on highway project location instead of locations of greatest benefit</li> </ul>	Financial managers have not traditionally been involved in asset management activities
Leadership: Organizational Culture	Most agencies have a short-term rather than long-term focus     Efforts of individual champions may start an initiative, but are not sufficient to sustain the initiative over time     Asset management is cross-cutting and does not easily fit within existing organizational structures	Agency leadership changes after elections can have a significant impact on programs that are not fully integrated into business processes In the absence of strong stewards among elected officials, there is little incentive from external stakeholders to use asset management	Some guidance is available to identify improvement areas through the self- assessment and gap analysis tools	There are few known financial incentives to motivate agency change
Leadership: Leadership Support and Commitment	It is difficult to make the changes needed for asset management without leadership support     Historically, legislated requirements have not had the "teeth" necessary to motivate organizational change	Priorities of elected and appointed officials often work against asset management programs     Many transportation agency directors serve at the pleasure of elected officials, which makes it difficult to enforce changes to existing investment processes	There is a lack of executive-level metrics that communicate the need for, and the benefits of, preservation activities	Transportation agencies facing constrained budgets are seeking ways of making more cost-effective investment decisions

Table 3. Contributing factors influencing each gap area (continued).

Gaps	Organizational Capacity	External Stakeholder Influence	Availability of Processes and Tools	Financial Management
Planning and Programming: Funding Structure	Dedicated funding sources lead to management of assets individually rather than as a system     Traditional organizational structures to not easily lend themselves to cross-asset analysis     Historically, there has been no accountability for reaching agency goals within planning and programming functions	Transportation agencies have not traditionally been held accountable for stated performance objectives     Unexpected events tend to divert funding away from sound, long-term goals	The statewide transportation improvement program is managed on a project-by-project basis rather than a system optimization basis	Dedicated funding sources prevent true optimization of investments     Future funding levels are extremely variable, making it difficult to conduct long- term planning
Data and Analysis: Data and Systems	Leaders do not understand the value of improved data     Data governance issues have not been addressed to identify data sources, uses, and standards     Some people are hesitant to move forward without adequate data; yet obtaining adequate data can take years     Agency staff do not have the skills to be able to fully utilize existing management systems     Managing the system requires coordination with outside agencies, such as MPOs	Elected officials and outside stakeholders seek improved methods of visualizing and communicating technical information	Improved tools are needed to manage systems and to perform cross-asset utilization     Tools to predict the performance of assets other than pavements are not widely available     Many agencies have limited inventory and performance data on roadside assets, with the exception of pavements and bridges	The lack of confidence in prediction models beyond 5 years makes long-term planning difficult
Data and Analysis: Risk Management	The structured analysis of agency and program risks is not well understood or practiced Guidance on conducting a formal assessment of agency risks is not available Legislation provides a basis for a consistent approach to be followed for analyzing and managing risk	Demand for an agency-wide assessment of risks has been absent     Unexpected events tend to shift funding away from sound, long-term goals	There are not widely-available tools for conducting a formal risk assessment of agency and program risks	Guidance for incorporating risk into long-term financial plans is not readily available
Data and Analysis: Long-Term Financial Planning	Organizational changes are needed to focus on long-term, sustainable investments in the infrastructure     Concepts of long-term financial sustainability are not well understood in the United States     Future funding is difficult to predict, making it hard to confidently plan into the future	Political influence on program decisions can negatively influence an agency's ability to optimize expenditures and achieve goals     In the United States, elected officials have not demonstrated an affinity for being held to long-term financial commitments     There are no consequences for poor stewardship	Guidance in developing long-term, sustainable programs is not currently available     Existing systems may need increased sophistication to produce the information necessary for developing long-term, sustainable programs	The links between the long- term financial plan and other operational plans is not well established

#### Short-Term and Long-Term Strategies to Address Gaps Identified by the TAM ETG

- Focus short-term strategies on addressing the related to agency resources and leadership.
  - a. Build and strengthen leadership support for asset management programs that consider risk and that reduce the overall life-cycle cost of managing assets.
  - Improve the awareness, understanding, and knowledge of asset management at all levels of the organization.
  - Assist organizations with the cultural, organization, and institutional changes that are needed to advance the use of asset management.
  - d. Provide technical guidance and support in asset management so existing tools and data can be used fully and MAP-21 requirements can be met.
  - Encourage the use of asset management beyond the requirements outlined in MAP-21.
- Focus long-term strategies on advancing asset management maturity levels and building external support for asset management.
  - a. Support the development of enhanced procedures and analysis tools that support cross-asset optimization, data integration, risk management, and long-term financial planning.
  - Demonstrate the influence of asset management on improvements in agency transparency, accountability, and stewardship.
  - Align national and state policies and standards with approaches that support the optimization of system performance.

Table 4. Focus areas over the next 10 years.

	Within the Next Two Years	Within the Next Five Years	Within the Next Ten Years
Focus Areas	Implementation of MAP- 21	Expanded coverage of assets included in a TAMP     Guidance on: Data Governance & Integration, Long-Term Financial Planning, Risk, System Optimization, Maturity Assessments     Level 3 Performance Measures	Expanded focus on Long- Term Financial Planning     Core maturity levels met in most states     Establish objective audit mechanism to ensure compliance with TAMP
Awareness Building Topics to Address to Achieve Desired Capabilities	Asset Management     Developing a TAMP     MAP-21 Requirements     Communication Strategies     Risk Management     Data Integration	Long-Term Financial Plans     Maturity Assessments     System Optimization     Advanced Communication Strategies	TAMP Audit Mechanisms     Public support for asset management
Capacity Building Topics to Address to Achieve Desired Capabilities	Developing a TAMP     Evaluating State TAMP     Processes (FHWA     Division Offices)      Aligning TAMP with     agency long-range plans     and processes	CEO Stewardship Roles  Enterprise Risk Management  Data Governance and Integration using GIS  Maturity Assessments  System (e.g. Cross Asset) Optimization	Long-Term Financial Planning     TAMP Audit Procedures     Level of Service Planning
Development of Guidance, Tools, and Templates to Achieve Desired Capabilities	Data Collection and Management of Roadway Assets Other than Pavements and Bridges     TAMP Templates     Maturity Assessment Tools     Knowledge Portal	Risk Management Guidelines     System Optimization Tools and Strategies     Data Governance Guidelines     New and Enhanced Performance Measures	Financial Management Templates and Tools

#### Recommendations

- Start Immediately, Don't Wait for Rules
- High level Executive Champion
- Dedicate Someone Full Time
- Get copies of the Existing TAMPs
- Get a handle on your Data GAPs
  - Can you duplicate charts, graphs
- Develop your Risk Registers
- Start Immediately

Mark Suarez, P.E.
Asset Management Engineer
Louisiana DOTD
mark.suarez@la.gov